

Theta functions and symplectic groups, II

by H. Reiter

Mathematical Institute, University of Vienna, Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Communicated by Prof. T.A. Springer at the meeting of February 25, 1985

ABSTRACT

The main result is an application of A. Weil's method of theta functions (Coll. Papers, vol. III, 1-69) and states that, for any locally compact commutative group G isomorphic to its dual, there is an *injective* representation of the symplectic group $Sp(G)$ by a certain group of unitary operators in $L^2(G \times G)$ containing the Fourier transformation (in a slightly modified form).

Here another theme from Weil's paper 1964b in [3] will be discussed to which we also refer for terminology and notation. Let G be a locally compact commutative group, written additively. For the symplectic group $Sp(G)$ and the groups $B_0(G)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0(G)$ the following is shown in 1964b: there is a monomorphism of $Sp(G)$ into $B_0(G)$ if $x \mapsto 2x$ is an automorphism of G ; also, if G is isomorphic to its dual group G^* , then a certain important part of $B_0(G)$ can be lifted to $\mathbf{B}_0(G)$ (cf. 1964b, n^{os} 5, 13; [3], pp. 8-9, 18). Here the groups $B_0(G^2)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$, where $G^2 := G \times G$, will be considered instead: it will be shown that there is always a monomorphism of $Sp(G)$ into $B_0(G^2)$ such that the image of $Sp(G)$ can be lifted to $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$, i.e. *$Sp(G)$ can be isomorphically embedded in $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$* ; moreover, the embedding is a natural one, in a sense to be discussed at the end of the paper. This result is analogous to that proved for $B_0(G)$ in [2], part III, and Weil's method of theta functions is used again¹.

¹ A preliminary version was communicated at the meeting on Harmonic Analysis held in September 1980 at the Universität Paderborn.

Let the duality between G^2 and G^{*2} be given as in [2], (III-3). Let

$$z_j = (x_j, y_j, x_j^*, y_j^*) \in G^2 \times G^{*2}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and put

$$(1) \quad F_1(z_1, z_2) := \langle x_1, x_2^* \rangle, \quad F_2(z_1, z_2) := \langle y_1, y_2^* \rangle.$$

The function $F^{[2]}$ introduced in [2], (III-4) can now be written as the product

$$(2) \quad F^{[2]}(z_1, z_2) = F_1(z_1, z_2) \cdot F_2(z_1, z_2).$$

Consider an element

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(G)$$

and write the defining property of the symplectic group (1964b, n° 2; [3], p. 6) as follows:

$$\frac{\langle x_1 \alpha + x_1^* \gamma, x_2 \beta + x_2^* \delta \rangle}{\langle x_1, x_2^* \rangle} = \frac{\langle x_2 \alpha + x_2^* \gamma, x_1 \beta + x_1^* \delta \rangle}{\langle x_2, x_1^* \rangle}.$$

It will be useful to change the notation,

$$x_1 \mapsto x, \quad x_2^* \mapsto x^*, \quad x_2 \mapsto y, \quad x_1^* \mapsto y^*,$$

so that the symplectic property assumes the form:

$$(3) \quad \frac{\langle x\alpha + y^*\gamma, y\beta + x^*\delta \rangle}{\langle x, x^* \rangle} = \frac{\langle y\alpha + x^*\gamma, x\beta + y^*\delta \rangle}{\langle y, y^* \rangle}$$

for arbitrary x, y in G , x^*, y^* in G^* .

Define next an automorphism $\sigma^{(2)}$ of $G^2 \times G^{*2}$ by

$$(4) \quad \sigma^{(2)} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where 0 denotes a zero morphism. Put, with F_1 as in (1),

$$(5) \quad \psi_\sigma^{(2)}(z) := F_1(z\sigma^{(2)}, z\sigma^{(2)})/F_1(z, z), \quad z := (x, y, x^*, y^*) \in G^2 \times G^{*2}.$$

$\psi_\sigma^{(2)}$ is precisely the term on the left in (3). Let us show: $(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)})$ belongs to $B_0(G^2)$, i.e. for z_1, z_2 in $G^2 \times G^{*2}$ the relation

$$(6) \quad \psi_\sigma^{(2)}(z_1 + z_2) = \psi_\sigma^{(2)}(z_1) \cdot \psi_\sigma^{(2)}(z_2) \cdot F^{[2]}(z_1\sigma^{(2)}, z_2\sigma^{(2)})/F^{[2]}(z_1, z_2)$$

holds; in particular, $\sigma^{(2)}$ is in $Sp(G^2)$ and $\psi_\sigma^{(2)}$ is a character of the second degree on $G^2 \times G^{*2}$.

To prove (6), let $z := z_1 + z_2$ in (5). Since

$$F_1(z_1 + z_2, z_1 + z_2) = F_1(z_1, z_1) \cdot F_1(z_2, z_2) \cdot F_1(z_1, z_2) \cdot F_1(z_2, z_1),$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}(\mathfrak{z}_1 + \mathfrak{z}_2) [\psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}(\mathfrak{z}_1) \cdot \psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}(\mathfrak{z}_2)] = \\ = [F_1(\mathfrak{z}_1 \sigma^{(2)}, \mathfrak{z}_2 \sigma^{(2)}) / F_1(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2)] \cdot [F_1(\mathfrak{z}_2 \sigma^{(2)}, \mathfrak{z}_1 \sigma^{(2)}) / F_1(\mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{z}_1)]. \end{cases}$$

Here we apply (3): putting there $x = x_2$, $y = y_1$, $x^* = x_1^*$, $y^* = y_2^*$, we obtain by the very definitions (1), (4)

$$F_1(\mathfrak{z}_2 \sigma^{(2)}, \mathfrak{z}_1 \sigma^{(2)}) / F_1(\mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{z}_1) = F_2(\mathfrak{z}_1 \sigma^{(2)}, \mathfrak{z}_2 \sigma^{(2)}) / F_2(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2),$$

and substituting this in (7) we get (6) [cf. (2)].

From the law of multiplication in $B_0(G^2)$ (cf. 1964b, n° 5, formula (7); [3], p. 8) and the definitions (4), (5) of $\sigma^{(2)}$ and $\psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}$ it readily follows that $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_{\sigma}^{(2)})$ is a monomorphism of $Sp(G)$ into $B_0(G^2)$. Let $Sp'(G^2)$ be the image of $Sp(G)$ in $B_0(G^2)$ under this monomorphism; we want to show that $Sp'(G^2)$ can be lifted to $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$, by a suitable application of Weil's theta method.

For this purpose we introduce the subgroup

$$(8a) \quad \Gamma := \{(t, 0) | t \in G\}$$

of G^2 . The associated subgroup Γ_* in G^{*2} is

$$(8b) \quad \Gamma_* = \{(0, t^*) | t^* \in G^*\},$$

so

$$\Gamma \times \Gamma_* = \{(t, 0, 0, t^*) | t \in G, t^* \in G^*\}.$$

It is readily verified that

- (i) $\sigma^{(2)}$ induces an automorphism of $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$, of Haar modulus 1,
- (ii) $\psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}(\zeta) = 1$ for all $\zeta \in \Gamma \times \Gamma_*$.

This means that $Sp'(G^2)$ belongs to $B_0(G^2, \Gamma)$, so that Weil's theta method is applicable. Let Φ be in $\mathfrak{S}^1(G^2)$ ([2], part I); the theta transform of Φ relative to the subgroup Γ of G^2 given by (8a) is

$$(9) \quad \Theta_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{z}) = (\tilde{T}_{\Gamma} \Phi)(\mathfrak{z}) := \int_G \Phi(x+t, y) \cdot \langle t, x^* \rangle dt, \quad \mathfrak{z} = (x, y, x^*, y^*).$$

See [2], part II for references to Weil's general theta method and part III for another example. The function (9) is, in fact, independent of y^* , in accordance with the general theory.

We now obtain an embedding of $Sp(G)$ in $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$,

$$\sigma \mapsto \mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma), \quad \sigma \in Sp(G), \quad \mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma) \in \mathbf{B}_0(G^2),$$

by putting

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma) := \tilde{T}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_{\sigma}^{(2)}) \tilde{T}, \quad \sigma \in Sp(G),$$

where $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_\Gamma$ is defined by (9) and $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ is the theta representation of $Sp'(G^2) \subset B_0(G^2, \Gamma)$:

$$(11) \quad [\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)})\Theta](\mathfrak{z}) := \Theta(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)}) \cdot \psi_\sigma^{(2)}(\mathfrak{z}), \quad \Theta \in L^2(G^2, \Gamma),$$

$(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)}) \in Sp'(G^2)$ being as in (4), (5).

Let us show that the embedding (10) can be expressed in a rather simple way. Put, for $\mathfrak{z} = (x, y, x^*, y^*) \in G^2 \times G^{*2}$, and with F_1 as in (1),

$$(12) \quad q(\mathfrak{z}) := F_1(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}) = \langle x, x^* \rangle.$$

Then we have:

$$(13) \quad q(\mathfrak{z}) \cdot (\tilde{T}\Phi)(\mathfrak{z}) = \int \Phi(t, y) \cdot \langle t, x^* \rangle dt.$$

This means that the function $q \cdot \tilde{T}\Phi$ on $G^2 \times G^{*2}$ is $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$ -periodic, and we can define a function $\tilde{T}\Phi$ on $G \times G^*$ by putting

$$(14) \quad \tilde{T}\Phi(\pi\mathfrak{z}) := q(\mathfrak{z}) \cdot (\tilde{T}\Phi)(\mathfrak{z}),$$

with

$$(15) \quad \pi\mathfrak{z} := (y, x^*) \in G \times G^* \text{ for } \mathfrak{z} = (x, y, x^*, y^*) \in G^2 \times G^{*2},$$

so that π is a surjective morphism

$$(16) \quad \pi: G^2 \times G^{*2} \rightarrow G \times G^*$$

with kernel $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$. The function q defined by (12) satisfies the relation (III-18) in [2], with Γ now defined by (8a) above; thus $\Theta \mapsto q \cdot \Theta$ is an isomorphic mapping of $L^2(G^2, \Gamma)$ on to $L^2(G \times G^*)$, and the figure in [2] following (III-18) also applies in the present case (with the same 'abus de notation' as explained there).

In $L^2(G \times G^*)$ there is an obvious realization of $Sp(G)$ as a group of unitary operators: we put for $\varphi \in L^2(G \times G^*)$

$$(17) \quad [\mathbf{r}''(\sigma)\varphi](y, x^*) := \varphi((y, x^*)\sigma), \quad \sigma \in Sp(G).$$

The theta representation $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ of $Sp'(G^2)$ defined by (11) is related to \mathbf{r}'' by

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)})\Theta = q^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{r}''(\sigma)(q \cdot \Theta), \quad \Theta \in L^2(G^2, \Gamma),$$

as is readily seen: the definitions (5), (12) say that $\psi_\sigma^{(2)}(\mathfrak{z}) = q(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)}) \cdot q(\mathfrak{z})^{-1}$, thus $q(\mathfrak{z}) \cdot [\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)})\Theta](\mathfrak{z})$ reduces to $q(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)}) \cdot \Theta(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)})$, or to $\varphi(\pi(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)}))$ if we define $\varphi \in L^2(G \times G^*)$ by $\varphi(\pi\mathfrak{z}) = q(\mathfrak{z}) \cdot \Theta(\mathfrak{z})$ [cf. (14)–(16)]; since $\pi(\mathfrak{z}\sigma^{(2)}) = (\pi\mathfrak{z})\sigma$ ($\sigma \in Sp(G)$), (18) follows. We can write (18), with the 'abus de notation' mentioned,

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma^{(2)}, \psi_\sigma^{(2)}) = q^{-1} \mathbf{r}''(\sigma) q.$$

Substituting (19) into (10) and applying (14) – which we may write $\tilde{T} = qT$ – we obtain for (10) the simple form

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma) = \tilde{T}^{-1} \mathbf{r}''(\sigma) \tilde{T}, \quad \sigma \in Sp(G).$$

The functions $\overset{\circ}{T}$ defined by (14) for $\Phi \in \mathfrak{S}^1(G^2)$ are given explicitly by [cf. (13)]

$$(21) \quad \overset{\circ}{T}\Phi(y, x^*) = \int_G \Phi(t, y) \cdot \langle t, x^* \rangle dt, \quad (y, x^*) \in G \times G^*,$$

and belong to $\mathfrak{S}^1(G \times G^*)$ by properties I_1 and I_4 in [2], part I; the mapping $\overset{\circ}{T}$ is here [the extension to $L^2(G^2)$ of] the 'partial' Fourier transformation (1964b, n° 11; [3], p. 17) on $\mathfrak{S}^1(G^2)$ [which is dense in $L^2(G^2)$]. The inverse transformation $\overset{\circ}{T}^{-1}$ is then, according to (14) and the general inversion formula [2], (II-4),

$$(22) \quad (\overset{\circ}{T}^{-1}\varphi)(x, y) = \int_{G^*} \varphi(y, t^*) \cdot \overline{\langle x, t^* \rangle} dt^*, \quad \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}^1(G \times G^*),$$

dt^* being the Haar measure on G^* dual to that given on G . Thus $\overset{\circ}{T}^{-1}$ is [the extension to $L^2(G \times G^*)$ of] the partial inverse Fourier transformation on $\mathfrak{S}^1(G \times G^*)$. It is easy, of course, to deduce (22) directly from (21).

We refer again to [2], part III: a comparison with the case treated there offers some interest; cf. especially (III-21)–(III-23).

The formulae (21), (17) and (22), combined with (20), show: the closed linear subspace of $L^2(G^2)$ consisting of all even functions, i.e. those $f \in L^2(G^2)$ coinciding almost everywhere with some f' such that $f'(-x, -y) = f'(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^2$, – this is a proper subspace of $L^2(G^2)$ unless all elements of G are of order 2 – is invariant under the operators $\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in Sp(G)$.

Using the same formulae and considering functions $\Phi \in \mathfrak{S}^1(G^2)$, we can readily determine the operator $\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma)$ explicitly for certain elements $\sigma \in Sp(G)$; we list the results here, valid for general $\Phi \in L^2(G^2)$:

$$(23a) \quad [\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix})\Phi](x, y) = |\alpha| \cdot \Phi(x\alpha, y\alpha),$$

where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $|\alpha|$ is the Haar modulus of α ;

$$(23b) \quad [\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})\Phi](x, y) = \langle x, y\beta \rangle \cdot \Phi(x, y),$$

where β is a *symmetric* morphism of G into G^* , i.e. $\langle x, y\beta \rangle = \langle y, x\beta \rangle$ – this is a character of the second degree on G^2 . Finally, if there exists an isomorphism γ of G^* on to G , then

$$(23c) \quad [\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^{*-1} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix})\Phi](x, y) = |\gamma|^{-1} \cdot \Phi^*(-y\gamma^{*-1}, -x\gamma^{*-1}),$$

where $|\gamma|dx^* = dx$ ($x = x^*\gamma$), dx^* being dual to dx – note that $|\gamma|^{-1} = |\gamma^{*-1}|$ (cf. 1964b, n° 2; [3], p. 5) – and Φ^* is the Fourier transform of $\Phi \in L^2(G^2)$, defined as loc. cit.

The unitary operators in $L^2(G^2)$ given by (23a, b, c) are entirely analogous to those in $L^2(G)$ defined in Weil's paper (1964b, n° 13; [3], p. 18); in this sense the embedding (20) of $Sp(G)$ in $\mathbf{B}_0(G^2)$ may be regarded as natural. The

analogy is related to a general property of Weil's theta representation (1964b, n° 20; [3], pp. 26–27)².

If G^* is isomorphic to G , (20) gives a realization of $Sp(G)$ as a group of unitary operators in $L^2(G^2)$ such that the Fourier transformation followed by an interchange of variables is an element of that group [cf. (23c)]; for $G \cong k^m$, k a local field, this is an analogue of Weil's unitary representation of the metaplectic group $Mp(k^m)$ in $L^2(k^m)$ (1964b, n° 34; [3], pp. 41–42). In this case, the matrices in $Sp(k^m)$ appearing on the left in (23a, b, c), with $\gamma = 1_m$, generate $Sp(k^m)$; this is contained in a well-known result of Witt (cf. [4], p. 335, Satz C, and the remark on p. 337 at the end of the Anhang, or [1], p. 326, Satz A 5.4). The formulae (23a, b, c) thus imply, in the case $G \cong k^m$, that the operators $\mathbf{r}^{(2)}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in Sp(G)$, leave the closed linear subspace of all symmetric functions in $L^2(G^2)$ invariant; here f is called symmetric if f coincides almost everywhere on G^2 with some f' satisfying $f'(y, x) = f'(x, y)$ for all x, y in G . How far Witt's result extends to $Sp(G)$ for more general G is a question that needs investigation.

REFERENCES

1. Freitag, E. — Siegelsche Modulfunktionen. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 254. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1983.
2. Reiter, H. — Theta Functions and Symplectic Groups. Mh. Math. 97, 219–232 (1984).
3. Weil, A. — Oeuvres Scientifiques, vol. III. Corrected Second Printing. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer 1980.
4. Witt, E. — Eine Identität zwischen Modulformen zweiten Grades. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 14, 323–337 (1941).

² It is readily seen that, in the general case considered there, the following additional condition must be imposed on the isomorphism $\gamma: G^* \rightarrow G$. For the restriction γ_* of γ to Γ_* the relation $|\gamma_*| = |\gamma|^{1/2}$ should hold, where $|\gamma_*| dx_* = d\xi$ ($\xi = x_* \gamma_*$ and the Haar measure dx_* on Γ_* 'orthogonal' to $d\xi$ so that Poisson's formula holds). This condition is satisfied if Γ and Γ_* are both compact or both discrete and also (as may readily be shown) whenever γ is symmetric. In the case (23c) above the corresponding condition for the isomorphism $G^{*2} \rightarrow G^2: (x^*, y^*) \mapsto (y^* \gamma, x^* \gamma)$ obviously holds, for arbitrary $\gamma \in \text{Is}(G^*, G)$ and Γ, Γ_* as in (8a, b); but for the isomorphism $(x^*, y^*) \mapsto (y^* \gamma_1, x^* \gamma_2)$ with γ_1, γ_2 in $\text{Is}(G^*, G)$ it holds (as is easily seen) if and only if $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$. In this whole context it may be observed that, if in the definition of $B_0(G, \Gamma)$ ([3], p. 25) the additional condition is imposed that the restriction of σ to $\Gamma \times \Gamma_*$ should have Haar modulus 1, then Théorème 4 ([3], p. 26) holds as stated; cf. A. Weil's remarks in [3], p. 445.